Generic MCMC convergence diagnostics

- Run several chains
- Split- R
 (Rhat) diagnostic comparing means and variances of chains
- Effective sample size estimate *N*_{eff} for dependent draws

- Use of several chains make convergence diagnostics easier
- Start chains from different starting points preferably overdispersed



- Use of several chains make convergence diagnostics easier
- Start chains from different starting points preferably overdispersed



 Remove draws from the beginning of the chains and run chains long enough so that it is not possible to distinguish where each chain started and the chains are well mixed







Visual convergence check is not sufficient

- BDA3: \hat{R} aka potential scale reduction factor (PSRF)
- Compare means and variances of the chains

- BDA3: \hat{R} aka potential scale reduction factor (PSRF)
- Compare means and variances of the chains
 W = within chain variance estimate
 var_hat_plus = total variance estimate



Rhat = 1.64 W = 0.53 $var_hat_plus = 1.42$ 2 4

Aki.Vehtari@aalto.fi - @avehtari

- BDA3: \hat{R} aka potential scale reduction factor (PSRF)
- Compare means and variances of the chains
 W = within chain variance estimate
 var_hat_plus = total variance estimate



Rhat = 1.08



Aki.Vehtari@aalto.fi - @avehtari

- BDA3: \hat{R} aka potential scale reduction factor (PSRF)
- Compare means and variances of the chains
 W = within chain variance estimate
 var_hat_plus = total variance estimate



• Within chains variance W

$$W = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} s_j^2$$
, where $s_j^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\psi_{ij} - \bar{\psi}_{.j})^2$

• Within chains variance W

$$W = rac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m s_j^2$$
, where $s_j^2 = rac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (\psi_{ij} - \bar{\psi}_{.j})^2$

• Between chains variance B

$$B = \frac{n}{m-1} \sum_{j=1}^{m} (\bar{\psi}_{.j} - \bar{\psi}_{..})^2, \text{ where } \bar{\psi}_{.j} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \psi_{ij}, \bar{\psi}_{..} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \bar{\psi}_{.j}$$

• B/n is variance of the means of the chains

• Within chains variance W

$$W = rac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m s_j^2$$
, where $s_j^2 = rac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (\psi_{ij} - \bar{\psi}_{.j})^2$

Between chains variance B

$$B = \frac{n}{m-1} \sum_{j=1}^{m} (\bar{\psi}_{.j} - \bar{\psi}_{..})^2, \text{ where } \bar{\psi}_{.j} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \psi_{ij}, \bar{\psi}_{..} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \bar{\psi}_{.j}$$

• B/n is variance of the means of the chains

 Estimate total variance var(\u03c6|y) as a weighted mean of W and B

$$\widehat{\operatorname{var}}^+(\psi|y) = \frac{n-1}{n}W + \frac{1}{n}B$$

 Estimate total variance var(\u03c6 | y) as a weighted mean of W and B

$$\widehat{\operatorname{var}}^+(\psi|y) = rac{n-1}{n}W + rac{1}{n}B$$

 this overestimates marginal posterior variance if the starting points are overdispersed Estimate total variance var(\u03c6|y) as a weighted mean of W and B

$$\widehat{\operatorname{var}}^+(\psi|y) = rac{n-1}{n}W + rac{1}{n}B$$

- this overestimates marginal posterior variance if the starting points are overdispersed
- Given finite *n*, *W* underestimates marginal posterior variance
 - single chains have not yet visited all points in the distribution
 - when $n \to \infty$, $\mathsf{E}(W) \to \mathsf{var}(\psi|y)$

 Estimate total variance var(\u03c6|y) as a weighted mean of W and B

$$\widehat{\operatorname{var}}^+(\psi|y) = rac{n-1}{n}W + rac{1}{n}B$$

- this overestimates marginal posterior variance if the starting points are overdispersed
- Given finite *n*, *W* underestimates marginal posterior variance
 - single chains have not yet visited all points in the distribution
 - when $n \to \infty$, $E(W) \to var(\psi|y)$
- As var⁺(\u03c6|y) overestimates and W underestimates, compute

$$\widehat{R} = \sqrt{\frac{\widehat{var}^+}{W}}$$

\widehat{R}

- BDA3: \hat{R} aka potential scale reduction factor (PSRF)
- Compare means and variances of the chains
 W = within chain variance estimate
 var_hat_plus = total variance estimate



Rhat = 1.64



\widehat{R}

- BDA3: \hat{R} aka potential scale reduction factor (PSRF)
- Compare means and variances of the chains
 W = within chain variance estimate
 var_hat_plus = total variance estimate





Aki.Vehtari@aalto.fi - @avehtari

Â

- BDA3: \hat{R} aka potential scale reduction factor (PSRF)
- Compare means and variances of the chains
 W = within chain variance estimate
 var_hat_plus = total variance estimate



$$\widehat{R} = \sqrt{\frac{\widehat{\operatorname{var}}^+}{W}}$$

- Estimates how much the scale of ψ could reduce if $n \to \infty$
- $R \rightarrow 1$, when $n \rightarrow \infty$
- if R is big (e.g., R > 1.01), keep sampling

$$\widehat{R} = \sqrt{\frac{\widehat{\operatorname{var}}^+}{W}}$$

• Estimates how much the scale of ψ could reduce if $n \to \infty$

•
$$R \rightarrow 1$$
, when $n \rightarrow \infty$

- if R is big (e.g., R > 1.01), keep sampling
- If *R* close to 1, it is still possible that chains have not converged
 - if starting points were not overdispersed
 - distribution far from normal (especially if infinite variance)
 - just by chance when n is finite



- BDA3: split- \hat{R}
- Examines mixing and stationarity of chains
- To examine stationarity chains are splitted to two parts
 - after splitting, we have *m* chains, each having *n* draws
 - scalar draws ψ_{ij} $(i = 1, \dots, n; j = 1, \dots, m)$
 - compare means and variances of the split chains

- Auto correlation function
 - describes the correlation given a certain lag
 - can be used to compare efficiency of MCMC algorithms and parameterizations



Draws—Steps of the sampler—90% HP



Draws—Steps of the sampler—90% HP

-theta1-theta2



Draws—Steps of the sampler—90% HP









Draws—Steps of the sampler—90% HP









Draws—Steps of the sampler—90% HP

-theta1-theta2





- Time series analysis can be used to estimate Monte Carlo error in case of MCMC
- For expectation $\bar{\theta}$

$$\operatorname{Var}[ar{ heta}] = rac{\sigma_{ heta}^2}{N/ au}$$

where τ is sum of autocorrelations

- τ describes how many dependent draws correspond to one independent sample
- in BDA3 *N* = *nm*
- $n_{\rm eff} = nm/\tau$
- BDA3 focuses on n_{eff} and not the Monte Carlo error directly

Estimation of the autocorrelation using several chains

$$\hat{\rho}_t = 1 - \frac{W - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \hat{\rho}_{t,j}}{2 \widehat{var}^+}$$

where $\hat{\rho}_{t,j}$ is autocorrelation at lag *t* for chain *j*

• Estimation of the autocorrelation using several chains

$$\hat{\rho}_t = 1 - \frac{W - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \hat{\rho}_{t,j}}{2 \widehat{var}^+}$$

where $\hat{\rho}_{t,j}$ is autocorrelation at lag *t* for chain *j*

 BDA3 has slightly different less accurate equation. The above equation is used in Stan 2.18+

• Estimation of the autocorrelation using several chains

$$\hat{\rho}_t = 1 - \frac{W - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^m \hat{\rho}_{t,j}}{2 \widehat{var}^+}$$

where $\hat{\rho}_{t,j}$ is autocorrelation at lag *t* for chain *j*

- BDA3 has slightly different less accurate equation. The above equation is used in Stan 2.18+
- Compared to usual method which computes the autocorrelation from a single chain, this estimate has smaller variance

• Estimation of τ

$$\tau = \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{2}\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \hat{\rho}_t$$

where $\hat{\rho}_t$ is empirical autocorrelation

- empirical autocorrelation function is noisy and thus estimate of τ is noisy
- noise is larger for longer lags (less observations)
- less noisy estimate is obtained by truncating

$$\tau \approx \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \hat{\rho}_t$$

• Estimation of τ

$$\tau = \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{2}\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \hat{\rho}_t$$

where $\hat{\rho}_t$ is empirical autocorrelation

- empirical autocorrelation function is noisy and thus estimate of τ is noisy
- noise is larger for longer lags (less observations)
- less noisy estimate is obtained by truncating

$$\tau \approx \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \hat{\rho}_t$$

- As τ is estimated from a finite number of draws, it's expectation is overoptimistic
 - if $\tau > mn/20$ then the estimate is unreliable

Geyer's adaptive window estimator

- Truncation can be decided adaptively
 - for stationary, irreducible, recurrent Markov chain
 - let $\Gamma_m = \rho_{2m} + \rho_{2m+1}$, which is sum of two consequent autocorrelations
 - Γ_m is positive, decreasing and convex function of m

Geyer's adaptive window estimator

- Truncation can be decided adaptively
 - for stationary, irreducible, recurrent Markov chain
 - let $\Gamma_m = \rho_{2m} + \rho_{2m+1}$, which is sum of two consequent autocorrelations
 - Γ_m is positive, decreasing and convex function of m
- Initial positive sequence estimator (Geyer's IPSE)
 - Choose the largest *m* so, that all values of the sequence $\hat{\Gamma}_1, \ldots, \hat{\Gamma}_m$ are positive



-theta1-theta2

Effective number of draws $n_{\rm eff} \approx N/\tau$



-theta1-theta2









-theta1-theta2

iter







-theta1-theta2



 $\tau \approx \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \hat{\rho}_t$ $\approx \mathbf{63}$

theta1-theta2

Dynamic HMC

Effective number of draws $n_{\rm eff} \approx N/\tau$







- Nonlinear dependencies
 - optimal proposal depends on location

- Nonlinear dependencies
 - optimal proposal depends on location
- Funnels
 - optimal proposal depends on location

- Nonlinear dependencies
 - optimal proposal depends on location
- Funnels
 - optimal proposal depends on location
- Multimodal
 - difficult to move from one mode to another

- Nonlinear dependencies
 - optimal proposal depends on location
- Funnels
 - optimal proposal depends on location
- Multimodal
 - difficult to move from one mode to another
- Long-tailed with non-finite variance and mean
 - central limit theorem for expectations does not hold

Further diagnostics

- Dynamic HMC/NUTS has additional diagnostics
 - divergences
 - tree depth exceedences
 - fraction of missing information