## Outline of Lecture 2

- Binomial model is the simplest model
- useful to introduce observation model, likelihood, posterior, prior, integration, posterior summaries
- very commonly used as a building block
- examples:
- coin tossing
- chips from bag
- COVID tests and vaccines
- classification / logistic regression


## Outline of Chapter 2

- 2.1 Binomial model (repeated experiment with binary outcome)
- 2.2 Posterior as compromise between data and prior information
- 2.3 Posterior summaries
- 2.4 Informative prior distributions (skip exponential families and sufficient statistics)
- 2.5 Gaussian model with known variance
- 2.6 Other single parameter models
- the normal distribution with known mean but unknown variance is the most important
- glance through Poisson and exponential
- 2.7 glance through this example, which illustrates benefits of prior information, no need to read all the details (it's quite long example)
- 2.8-2.9 Noninformative and weakly informative priors
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## Binomial: known $\theta$

- Probability of event 1 in trial is $\theta$
- Probability of event 2 in trial is $1-\theta$
- Probability of several events in independent trials is e.g. $\theta \theta(1-\theta) \theta(1-\theta)(1-\theta) \ldots$
- If there are $n$ trials and we don't care about the order of the events, then the probability that event 1 happens $y$ times is

$$
p(y \mid \theta, n)=\binom{n}{y} \theta^{y}(1-\theta)^{n-y}
$$
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$$
p(y \mid \theta, n)=\binom{n}{y} \theta^{y}(1-\theta)^{n-y}
$$

Binomial distribution with $\theta=0.5, \mathrm{n}=10$
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## Binomial: known $\theta$

- Observation model (function of $y$, discrete)

$$
p(y \mid \theta, n)=\binom{n}{y} \theta^{y}(1-\theta)^{n-y}
$$

Binomial distribution with $\theta=0.9, \mathrm{n}=10$

$p(y \mid n=10, \theta=0.9): 0.000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .010 .060 .190 .390 .35$

## Binomial: what if $y=6$ ?

- Observation model (function of $y$, discrete)

$$
p(y \mid \theta, n)=\binom{n}{y} \theta^{y}(1-\theta)^{n-y}
$$

Binomial distribution with $\theta=0.5, \mathrm{n}=10$

$p(y=6 \mid n=10, \theta=0.5): 0.000 .010 .040 .120 .210 .250 .210 .120 .040 .010 .00$

## Binomial: what if $y=6$ ?

- Observation model (function of $y$, discrete)

$$
p(y \mid \theta, n)=\binom{n}{y} \theta^{y}(1-\theta)^{n-y}
$$

Binomial distribution with $\theta=0.9, \mathrm{n}=10$

$p(y=6 \mid n=10, \theta=0.9): 0.000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .010 .060 .190 .390 .35$

## Binomial: unknown $\theta$ and $y=6$

- Likelihood (function of $\theta$, continuous)

$$
p(y \mid \theta, n)=\binom{n}{y} \theta^{y}(1-\theta)^{n-y}
$$



$$
p(y=6 \mid n=10, \theta):\left(\begin{array}{llllllllllll}
0.00 & 0.00 & 0.01 & 0.04 & 0.11 & 0.21 & 0.25 & 0.20 & 0.09 & 0.01 & 0.00
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Binomial: unknown $\theta$ and $y=6$

- Likelihood (function of $\theta$, continuous)

$$
p(y \mid \theta, n)=\binom{n}{y} \theta^{y}(1-\theta)^{n-y}
$$


we can compute the value for any $\theta$, but in practice can compute only for finite values

## Binomial: unknown $\theta$ and $y=6$

- Likelihood (function of $\theta$, continuous)

$$
p(y \mid \theta, n)=\binom{n}{y} \theta^{y}(1-\theta)^{n-y}
$$


with sufficient many evaluations, linearly interpolated plot looks smooth

## Binomial: unknown $\theta$ and $y=6$

- Likelihood (function of $\theta$, continuous)

$$
p(y \mid \theta, n)=\binom{n}{y} \theta^{y}(1-\theta)^{n-y}
$$


looks smooth, and we'll get back to later to computational cost issues

## Binomial: unknown $\theta$ and $y=6$

- Likelihood (function of $\theta$, continuous)

$$
p(y \mid \theta, n)=\binom{n}{y} \theta^{y}(1-\theta)^{n-y}
$$


likelihood function describes uncertainty, but is not normalized distribution

## Binomial: unknown $\theta$ and $y=6$

- Likelihood (function of $\theta$, continuous)

$$
p(y \mid \theta, n)=\binom{n}{y} \theta^{y}(1-\theta)^{n-y}
$$
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## Binomial posterior

- Joint distribution $p(\theta, y \mid n)$
- Observation model as a function of $y: p(y \mid \theta, n) \propto p(\theta, y \mid n)$
- Likelihood as a function of $\theta: p(y \mid \theta, n) \propto p(\theta, y \mid n)$
- Posterior with Bayes rule (function of $\theta$, continuous)

$$
p(\theta \mid y, n)=\frac{p(y \mid \theta, n) p(\theta \mid n)}{p(y \mid n)}
$$

where $p(y \mid n)=\int p(y \mid \theta, n) p(\theta \mid n) d \theta$

- Start with uniform prior

$$
p(\theta \mid n)=p(\theta \mid M)=1, \text { when } 0 \leq \theta \leq 1
$$

- Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(\theta \mid y, n) & =\frac{p(y \mid \theta, n)}{p(y \mid n)}=\frac{\binom{n}{y} \theta^{y}(1-\theta)^{n-y}}{\int_{0}^{1}\binom{n}{y} \theta^{y}(1-\theta)^{n-y} d \theta} \\
& =\frac{1}{Z} \theta^{y}(1-\theta)^{n-y}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Binomial: unknown $\theta$

- Normalization term $Z$ (constant given $y$ )

$$
Z=p(y \mid n)=\int_{0}^{1} \theta^{y}(1-\theta)^{n-y} d \theta=\frac{\Gamma(y+1) \Gamma(n-y+1)}{\Gamma(n+2)}
$$

## Binomial: unknown $\theta$

- Normalization term $Z$ (constant given $y$ )

$$
Z=p(y \mid n)=\int_{0}^{1} \theta^{y}(1-\theta)^{n-y} d \theta=\frac{\Gamma(y+1) \Gamma(n-y+1)}{\Gamma(n+2)}
$$

- Evaluate with $y=6, n=10$ $\mathrm{y}<-6$; $\mathrm{n}<-10$; integrate(function(theta) theta^y*(1-theta)^(n-y), 0, 1) $\approx 0.0004329$
gamma $(6+1) * \operatorname{gamma}(10-6+1) / \operatorname{gamma}(10+2) \approx 0.0004329$


## Binomial: unknown $\theta$

- Normalization term $Z$ (constant given $y$ )

$$
Z=p(y \mid n)=\int_{0}^{1} \theta^{y}(1-\theta)^{n-y} d \theta=\frac{\Gamma(y+1) \Gamma(n-y+1)}{\Gamma(n+2)}
$$

- Evaluate with $y=6, n=10$ $\mathrm{y}<-6$; $\mathrm{n}<-10$; integrate(function(theta) theta^y*(1-theta)^(n-y), 0, 1) $\approx 0.0004329$
gamma $(6+1)$ *gamma $(10-6+1) / \operatorname{gamma}(10+2) \approx 0.0004329$
usually computed via $\log \Gamma(\cdot)$ due to the limitations of floating point presentation


## Binomial: unknown $\theta$

- Posterior is

$$
p(\theta \mid y, n)=\frac{\Gamma(n+2)}{\Gamma(y+1) \Gamma(n-y+1)} \theta^{y}(1-\theta)^{n-y},
$$

## Binomial: unknown $\theta$

- Posterior is

$$
p(\theta \mid y, n)=\frac{\Gamma(n+2)}{\Gamma(y+1) \Gamma(n-y+1)} \theta^{y}(1-\theta)^{n-y},
$$

which is called Beta distribution

$$
\theta \mid y, n \sim \operatorname{Beta}(y+1, n-y+1)
$$

$$
p(\theta \mid y=6, n=10, M=b i n o m)+\text { unif. prior })
$$



## Binomial: computation

- R
- density dbeta
- CDF pbeta
- quantile qbeta
- random number rbeta
- Python
- from scipy.stats import beta
- density beta.pdf
- CDF beta.cdf
- prctile beta.ppf
- random number beta.rvs


## Binomial: computation

- Beta CDF not trivial to compute
- For example, pbeta in $R$ uses a continued fraction with weighting factors and asymptotic expansion
- Laplace developed normal approximation (Laplace approximation), because he didn't know how to compute Beta CDF

$$
p(\theta \mid y=6, n=10, M=\text { binom })+\text { unif. prior })
$$



## Placenta previa

- Probability of a girl birth given placenta previa (BDA3 p. 37)
- 437 girls and 543 boys have been observed
- is the ratio 0.445 different from the population average 0.485 ?


## Placenta previa

- Probability of a girl birth given placenta previa (BDA3 p. 37)
- 437 girls and 543 boys have been observed
- is the ratio 0.445 different from the population average 0.485 ?

Uniform prior $->$ Posterior is Beta $(438,544)$


95\% posterior interval
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## Predictive distribution - Effect of integration

- Predictive distribution for new $\tilde{y}$ (discrete)

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(\tilde{y}=1 \mid y, n, M) & =\int_{0}^{1} p(\tilde{y}=1 \mid \theta, y, n, M) p(\theta \mid y, n, M) d \theta \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} \theta p(\theta \mid y, n, M) d \theta \\
& =\mathrm{E}[\theta \mid y]
\end{aligned}
$$

- With uniform prior

$$
\mathrm{E}[\theta \mid y]=\frac{y+1}{n+2}
$$

- Extreme cases

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p(\tilde{y}=1 \mid y=0, n, M)=\frac{1}{n+2} \\
& p(\tilde{y}=1 \mid y=n, n, M)=\frac{n+1}{n+2}
\end{aligned}
$$

- cf. maximum likelihood


## Benefits of integration

Example: $n=10, y=10$
Posterior of $\theta$ of Binomial model with $\mathrm{y}=10, \mathrm{n}=$


## Predictive distribution

- Prior predictive distribution for new $\tilde{y}$ (discrete)

$$
p(\tilde{y}=1 \mid M)=\int_{0}^{1} p(\tilde{y}=1 \mid \theta, M) p(\theta \mid M) d \theta
$$

- Posterior predictive distribution for new $\tilde{y}$ (discrete)

$$
p(\tilde{y}=1 \mid y, n, M)=\int_{0}^{1} p(\tilde{y}=1 \mid \theta, y, n, M) p(\theta \mid y, n, M) d \theta
$$

## Left handedness

- If we would like to provide scissors for all students, how many left handed scissors we would need?
- related to consumer behavior analysis and $A / B$ testing
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## Left handedness

- What we know and don't know
- $N=L+R$ is the total number of students in the lecture hall, $N$ is known in the beginning
- $L$ and $R$ are the number of left and right handed students, not known before we start asking
- $n=l+r$ is the number of students we have asked
- $l$ and $r$ are the numbers of left and right handed students from the students we asked
- we also know that $l \leq L \leq(N-r)$ and $r \leq R \leq(N-l)$
- After observing $n$ students with $l$ left handed, what we know about $L$ ?
- We define $L=l+\tilde{l}$, where $\tilde{l}$ is the unobserved number of left handed students among those who we did not yet ask
- Posterior distribution for $\theta$ is $\operatorname{Beta}(\alpha+l, \beta+r)$
- Posterior predictive distribution for $\tilde{l}$ is
$\operatorname{Beta-Binomial}(\tilde{l} \mid N-n, \alpha+l, \beta+r)=$
$\int_{0}^{1} \operatorname{Bin}(\tilde{l} \mid N-n, \theta) \operatorname{Beta}(\theta \mid \alpha+l, \beta+r) d \theta$
- Demo: https://huggingface.co/spaces/Madhav/Handedness


## Justification for uniform prior

- $p(\theta \mid M)=1$ if

1) we want the prior predictive distribution to be uniform

$$
p(y \mid n, M)=\frac{1}{n+1}, \quad y=0, \ldots, n
$$

- nice justification as it is based on observables $y$ and $n$


## Justification for uniform prior

- $p(\theta \mid M)=1$ if

1) we want the prior predictive distribution to be uniform

$$
p(y \mid n, M)=\frac{1}{n+1}, \quad y=0, \ldots, n
$$

- nice justification as it is based on observables $y$ and $n$

2) we think all values of $\theta$ are equally likely

## Priors

- Conjugate prior (BDA3 p. 35)
- Noninformative prior (BDA3 p. 51)
- Proper and improper prior (BDA3 p. 52)
- Weakly informative prior (BDA3 p. 55)
- Informative prior (BDA3 p. 55)
- Prior sensitivity (BDA3 p. 38)


## Conjugate prior

- Prior and posterior have the same form
- only for exponential family distributions (plus for some irregular cases)
- Used to be important for computational reasons, and still sometimes used for special models to allow partial analytic marginalization (Ch 3)
- with dynamic Hamiltonian Monte Carlo used e.g. in Stan no computational benefit


## Beta prior for Binomial model

- Prior

$$
\operatorname{Beta}(\theta \mid \alpha, \beta) \propto \theta^{\alpha-1}(1-\theta)^{\beta-1}
$$

- Posterior
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p(\theta \mid y, n, M) \propto \theta^{y}(1-\theta)^{n-y} \theta^{\alpha-1}(1-\theta)^{\beta-1}
$$
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## Beta prior for Binomial model

- Prior

$$
\operatorname{Beta}(\theta \mid \alpha, \beta) \propto \theta^{\alpha-1}(1-\theta)^{\beta-1}
$$

- Posterior

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(\theta \mid y, n, M) & \propto \theta^{y}(1-\theta)^{n-y} \theta^{\alpha-1}(1-\theta)^{\beta-1} \\
& \propto \theta^{y+\alpha-1}(1-\theta)^{n-y+\beta-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

after normalization

$$
p(\theta \mid y, n, M)=\operatorname{Beta}(\theta \mid \alpha+y, \beta+n-y)
$$

- $(\alpha-1)$ and $(\beta-1)$ can be considered to be the number of prior observations
- Uniform prior when $\alpha=1$ and $\beta=1$


## Benefits of integration and prior

Example: $n=10, y=10$ - uniform vs $\operatorname{Beta}(2,2)$ prior

$$
p(\theta \mid y=10, n=10, M=b i n o m)+\text { unif. prior }
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$$
p(\theta \mid y=10, n=10, M=\text { binom })+\operatorname{Beta}(2,2) \text { prior }
$$



## Beta prior for Binomial model

- Posterior
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p(\theta \mid y, n, M)=\operatorname{Beta}(\theta \mid \alpha+y, \beta+n-y)
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- Posterior mean
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\mathrm{E}[\theta \mid y]=\frac{\alpha+y}{\alpha+\beta+n}
$$

- combination prior and likelihood information
- when $n \rightarrow \infty, \mathrm{E}[\theta \mid y] \rightarrow y / n$


## Beta prior for Binomial model

- Posterior

$$
p(\theta \mid y, n, M)=\operatorname{Beta}(\theta \mid \alpha+y, \beta+n-y)
$$

- Posterior mean

$$
\mathrm{E}[\theta \mid y]=\frac{\alpha+y}{\alpha+\beta+n}
$$

- combination prior and likelihood information
- when $n \rightarrow \infty, \mathrm{E}[\theta \mid y] \rightarrow y / n$
- Posterior variance

$$
\operatorname{Var}[\theta \mid y]=\frac{\mathrm{E}[\theta \mid y](1-\mathrm{E}[\theta \mid y])}{\alpha+\beta+n+1}
$$

- decreases when $n$ increases
- when $n \rightarrow \infty, \operatorname{Var}[\theta \mid y] \rightarrow 0$


## Noninformative prior, proper and improper prior

- Vague, flat, diffuse, or noninformative
- try to "to let the data speak for themselves"
- flat is not non-informative
- flat can be stupid
- making prior flat somewhere can make it non-flat somewhere else
- Proper prior has $\int p(\theta)=1$
- Improper prior density doesn't have a finite integral
- the posterior can still sometimes be proper


## Weakly informative priors

- Weakly informative priors produce computationally better behaving posteriors
- quite often there's at least some knowledge about the scale
- useful also if there's more information from previous observations, but not certain how well that information is applicable in a new case uncertainty


## Weakly informative priors

- Weakly informative priors produce computationally better behaving posteriors
- quite often there's at least some knowledge about the scale
- useful also if there's more information from previous observations, but not certain how well that information is applicable in a new case uncertainty
- Construction
- Start with some version of a noninformative prior distribution and then add enough information so that inferences are constrained to be reasonable.
- Start with a strong, highly informative prior and broaden it to account for uncertainty in one's prior beliefs and in the applicability of any historically based prior distribution to new data.
- Stan team prior choice recommendations https://github.com/ stan-dev/stan/wiki/Prior-Choice-Recommendations


## Informative prior for left handedness

- Papadatou-Pastou et al. (2020). Human handedness: A meta-analysis. Psychological Bulletin, 146(6), 481-524. https://doi.org/10.1037/bul0000229
- totaling 2396170 individuals
- varies between $9.3 \%$ and $18.1 \%$, depending on how handedness is measured
- varies between countries and in time


## Informative prior for left handedness

- Papadatou-Pastou et al. (2020). Human handedness: A meta-analysis. Psychological Bulletin, 146(6), 481-524. https://doi.org/10.1037/bul0000229
- totaling 2396170 individuals
- varies between $9.3 \%$ and $18.1 \%$, depending on how handedness is measured
- varies between countries and in time

```
RATE OF LEFT HANDEDNESS BY COUNTRY
```



Fig from https://www.reddit.com/r/dataisbeautiful/comments/s9x1ya/history_of_lefthandedness_oc/

## Informative prior for left handedness

- Papadatou-Pastou et al. (2020). Human handedness: A meta-analysis. Psychological Bulletin, 146(6), 481-524. https://doi.org/10.1037/bul0000229
- totaling 2396170 individuals
- varies between $9.3 \%$ and $18.1 \%$, depending on how handedness is measured
- varies between countries and in time

RATE OF LEFT HANDEDNESS BY YEAR


## Informative prior for left handedness

- Papadatou-Pastou et al. (2020). Human handedness: A meta-analysis. Psychological Bulletin, 146(6), 481-524. https://doi.org/10.1037/bul0000229
- totaling 2396170 individuals
- varies between $9.3 \%$ and $18.1 \%$, depending on how handedness is measured
- varies between countries and in time

Beta $(8,60)$ prior


## Benefits of integration and prior

- Left handed simulation with 30 left handed and 300 total



## Benefits of integration and prior

- Left handed simulation with 30 left handed and 300 total



## Benefits of integration and prior

- Left handed simulation with 30 left handed and 300 total
- repeated 10000 times
- average log predictive density for 30 left handed in total



## Effect of incorrect priors?

- Introduce bias, but often still produce smaller estimation error because the variance is reduced
- bias-variance tradeoff


## Structural information in predicting future

RStan downloads per day from RStudio CRAN mirror


## Structural information in predicting future

RStan downloads per day from RStudio CRAN mirror


## Structural information - Prophet by Facebook





Day of week


## Binomial: unknown $\theta$

Sometimes conditioning on the model $M$ is explicitly shown

- Posterior with Bayes rule (function of $\theta$, continuous)

$$
p(\theta \mid y, n, M)=\frac{p(y \mid \theta, n, M) p(\theta \mid n, M)}{p(y \mid n, M)}
$$

where $p(y \mid n, M)=\int p(y \mid \theta, n, M) p(\theta \mid n, M) d \theta$
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- makes it more clear that likelihood and prior are both part of the model
- makes it more clear that there is no absolute probability for $p(y \mid n)$, but it depends on the model $M$
- in case of two models, we can evaluate marginal likelihoods $p\left(y \mid n, M_{1}\right)$ and $p\left(y \mid n, M_{2}\right)$ (more in Ch 7)


## Binomial: unknown $\theta$

Sometimes conditioning on the model $M$ is explicitly shown

- Posterior with Bayes rule (function of $\theta$, continuous)

$$
p(\theta \mid y, n, M)=\frac{p(y \mid \theta, n, M) p(\theta \mid n, M)}{p(y \mid n, M)}
$$

where $p(y \mid n, M)=\int p(y \mid \theta, n, M) p(\theta \mid n, M) d \theta$

- makes it more clear that likelihood and prior are both part of the model
- makes it more clear that there is no absolute probability for $p(y \mid n)$, but it depends on the model $M$
- in case of two models, we can evaluate marginal likelihoods $p\left(y \mid n, M_{1}\right)$ and $p\left(y \mid n, M_{2}\right)$ (more in Ch 7)
- usually dropped to make the notation more concise


## Sufficient statistics

- The quantity $t(y)$ is said to be a sufficient statistic for $\theta$, because the likelihood for $\theta$ depends on the data $y$ only through the value of $t(y)$.


## Sufficient statistics

- The quantity $t(y)$ is said to be a sufficient statistic for $\theta$, because the likelihood for $\theta$ depends on the data $y$ only through the value of $t(y)$.
- For binomial model the sufficient statistics are $y$ and $n$ (the order doesn't matter)


## Posterior visualization and inference demos

- demo2_3: Simulate samples from $\operatorname{Beta}(438,544)$, and draw a histogram of $\theta$ with quantiles.




## Posterior visualization and inference demos

- demo2_4: Compute posterior distribution in a grid.



## Posterior visualization and inference demos

- demo2_4: Sample using the inverse-cdf method.

Non-conjugate posterior


Posterior-cdf


Histogram of posterior samples


## Algae

Assignment

Algae status is monitored in 274 sites at Finnish lakes and rivers. The observations for the 2008 algae status at each site are presented in file algae.mat ('0': no algae, '1': algae present). Let $\pi$ be the probability of a monitoring site having detectable blue-green algae levels.

- Use a binomial model for observations and a beta(2,10) prior.
- What can you say about the value of the unknown $\pi$ ?
- Experiment how the result changes if you change the prior.


## Binomial model with $\theta=f(x)$

- Next week you learn how the binomial model parameter $\theta$ can depend on some other measurement $x$



## Normal / Gaussian

- Observations y real valued
- Mean $\theta$ and variance $\sigma^{2}$ (or deviation $\sigma$ )

This week assume $\sigma^{2}$ known (preparing for the next week)

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(y \mid \theta) & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}}(y-\theta)^{2}\right) \\
y & \sim \mathrm{~N}\left(\theta, \sigma^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



## Reasons to use Normal distribution

- Normal distribution often justified based on central limit theorem
- More often used due to the computational convenience or tradition


## Central limit theorem*

- De Moivre, Laplace, Gauss, Chebysev, Liapounov, Markov, et al.
- Given certain conditions, distribution of sum (and mean) of random variables approach Gaussian distribution as $n \rightarrow \infty$
- Problems
- does not hold for distributions with infinite variance, e.g., Cauchy
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## Central limit theorem*

- De Moivre, Laplace, Gauss, Chebysev, Liapounov, Markov, et al.
- Given certain conditions, distribution of sum (and mean) of random variables approach Gaussian distribution as $n \rightarrow \infty$
- Problems
- does not hold for distributions with infinite variance, e.g., Cauchy
- may require large $n$, e.g. Binomial, when $\theta$ close to 0 or 1
- does not hold if one the variables has much larger scale

Normal distribution - conjugate prior for $\theta$

- Assume $\sigma^{2}$ known

Likelihood $\quad p(y \mid \theta) \propto \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}}(y-\theta)^{2}\right)$

Prior

$$
p(\theta) \propto \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2 \tau_{0}^{2}}\left(\theta-\mu_{0}\right)^{2}\right)
$$
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## Normal distribution - conjugate prior for $\theta$

- Assume $\sigma^{2}$ known

Likelihood

$$
p(y \mid \theta) \propto \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}}(y-\theta)^{2}\right)
$$

Prior

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(\theta) & \propto \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2 \tau_{0}^{2}}\left(\theta-\mu_{0}\right)^{2}\right) \\
\exp (a) \exp (b) & =\exp (a+b)
\end{aligned}
$$

Posterior

$$
p(\theta \mid y) \propto \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{(y-\theta)^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}+\frac{\left(\theta-\mu_{0}\right)^{2}}{\tau_{0}^{2}}\right]\right)
$$

## Normal distribution - conjugate prior for $\theta$

- Posterior (highly recommended to do BDA 3 Ex 2.14a)

$$
\begin{gathered}
p(\theta \mid y) \propto \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{(y-\theta)^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}+\frac{\left(\theta-\mu_{0}\right)^{2}}{\tau_{0}^{2}}\right]\right) \\
\propto \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2 \tau_{1}^{2}}\left(\theta-\mu_{1}\right)^{2}\right) \\
\theta \mid y \sim \mathrm{~N}\left(\mu_{1}, \tau_{1}^{2}\right), \quad \text { where } \mu_{1}=\frac{\frac{1}{\tau_{0}^{2}} \mu_{0}+\frac{1}{\sigma^{2}} y}{\frac{1}{\tau_{0}^{2}}+\frac{1}{\sigma^{2}}} \quad \text { and } \frac{1}{\tau_{1}^{2}}=\frac{1}{\tau_{0}^{2}}+\frac{1}{\sigma^{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Normal distribution - conjugate prior for $\theta$

- Posterior (highly recommended to do BDA 3 Ex 2.14a)

$$
\begin{gathered}
p(\theta \mid y) \propto \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{(y-\theta)^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}+\frac{\left(\theta-\mu_{0}\right)^{2}}{\tau_{0}^{2}}\right]\right) \\
\propto \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2 \tau_{1}^{2}}\left(\theta-\mu_{1}\right)^{2}\right) \\
\theta \mid y \sim \mathrm{~N}\left(\mu_{1}, \tau_{1}^{2}\right), \quad \text { where } \mu_{1}=\frac{\frac{1}{\tau_{0}^{2}} \mu_{0}+\frac{1}{\sigma^{2}} y}{\frac{1}{\tau_{0}^{2}}+\frac{1}{\sigma^{2}}} \quad \text { and } \frac{1}{\tau_{1}^{2}}=\frac{1}{\tau_{0}^{2}}+\frac{1}{\sigma^{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

- 1/variance = precision
- Posterior precision = prior precision + data precision
- Posterior mean is precision weighted mean


## Normal distribution - example
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## Normal distribution - example



## Normal distribution - conjugate prior for $\theta$

- Several observations - use chain rule


## Normal distribution - conjugate prior for $\theta$

- Several observations $y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$
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\begin{gathered}
p(\theta \mid y)=\mathrm{N}\left(\theta \mid \mu_{n}, \tau_{n}^{2}\right) \\
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- If $\tau_{0}^{2}=\sigma^{2}$, prior corresponds to one virtual observation with value $\mu_{0}$


## Normal distribution - conjugate prior for $\theta$

- Several observations $y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$

$$
\begin{gathered}
p(\theta \mid y)=\mathrm{N}\left(\theta \mid \mu_{n}, \tau_{n}^{2}\right) \\
\text { where } \mu_{n}=\frac{\frac{1}{\tau_{0}^{2}} \mu_{0}+\frac{n}{\sigma^{2}} \bar{y}}{\frac{1}{\tau_{0}^{2}}+\frac{n}{\sigma^{2}}} \text { ja } \frac{1}{\tau_{n}^{2}}=\frac{1}{\tau_{0}^{2}}+\frac{n}{\sigma^{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

- If $\tau_{0}^{2}=\sigma^{2}$, prior corresponds to one virtual observation with value $\mu_{0}$
- If $\tau_{0} \rightarrow \infty$ when $n$ fixed or if $n \rightarrow \infty$ when $\tau_{0}$ fixed

$$
p(\theta \mid y) \approx \mathrm{N}\left(\theta \mid \bar{y}, \sigma^{2} / n\right)
$$

## Normal distribution - conjugate prior for $\theta$

- Posterior predictive distribution

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(\tilde{y} \mid y) & =\int p(\tilde{y} \mid \theta) p(\theta \mid y) d \theta \\
p(\tilde{y} \mid y) & \propto \int \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}}(\tilde{y}-\theta)^{2}\right) \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2 \tau_{1}^{2}}\left(\theta-\mu_{1}\right)^{2}\right) d \theta \\
\tilde{y} \mid y & \sim \mathrm{~N}\left(\mu_{1}, \sigma^{2}+\tau_{1}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Predictive variance $=$ observation model variance $\sigma^{2}+$ posterior variance $\tau_{1}^{2}$


## Normal model

- Gets more interesting when both mean and variance are unknown
- next week
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## Normal model

- Gets more interesting when both mean and variance are unknown
- next week
- The mean can be also a function of covariates
- e.g. normal linear regression $y \sim \mathrm{~N}\left(\alpha+\beta x, \sigma^{2}\right)$
- Gaussian processes, Kalman filters, variational inference, Laplace approximaion, etc.


## Some other one parameter models

- Poisson, useful for count data (e.g. in epidemiology)
- Exponential, useful for time to an event (e.g. particle decay)


## Poisson model for count data

- Number of traffic deaths per year (by Liikenneturva)



## Poisson model for count data

- Number of traffic deaths per year (by Liikenneturva)



## Thinking priors

- Make a guess of some quantities and then find out useful prior information for that. E.g.
- proportion of students using MS Windows vs. Apple macOS vs. Linux
- proportion of students who are longer than 1.9 m
- proportion of students, who submitted the first assignment, attending the next lecture
- proportion of students, who submitted the first assignment, submitting the last assignment

