

S1

Project work

Q1 · Yes / No

Can you open the pdf/html-file and it's no essentially empty? If the submission is empty or no-effort nonsense contact the course staff.

Response

Q2 · Scale

Is there an introduction?

Response

- There is no clear introduction
- The introduction touches on the main topic
- The introduction states the main topic and provides an overview of the report
- The introduction is inviting, presents an overview of the report. Information is relevant and presented in a logical order

Q3 · Text

What are your suggestions on how to improve the introduction?

Response

Q4 · Yes / No

Is there a description of the data and the analysis problem?

Response

No, it's practically non-existing, or it's nonsense

Yes

Q5 · Text

Did you get a sense of what is the data and the analysis problem when they were first introduced? Where and how might the author make the model description more clear?

Response

Q6 · Scale

Are there descriptions of at least two models?

Response

- No, practically non-existing, or nonsense
- Only one model described
- At least two models described

Q7 · Text

Did you get a sense of what the models are? Where and how might the author(s) make the model description more clear?

Response

Q8 · Scale

Are there descriptions and justifications of the prior choices?

Response

- No priors, non-existing description, or nonsense
- Priors listed but not justified
- Priors are listed and justified

Q9 · Text

Did you get a sense of what the priors are? Where and how might the author(s) make the prior description and justification more clear?

Response

Q10 · Scale

Is Stan, rstanarm, or brms code included? The main report can also show parts of a long code, and the complete model code can be in the appendix if it's mentioned in the main text.

Response

- No code or nonsense code
- Stan code included, but it's really messy
- Stan code included with easy to read layout (even if it would be complex to understand)

Q11 · Yes / No

Is there code to show Stan model is run so that it's easy to see what options were used?

Response

No, it's practically non-existing, or it's nonsense

Yes

Q12 · Scale

Is Rhat convergence diagnostics and interpretation included?

Response

- No
- Yes, but no discussion what can be concluded from the shown Rhat values
- Yes, with discussion what can be concluded from the shown Rhat values

Q13 · Scale

Are HMC specific convergence diagnostics (divergences, tree depth) with interpretation of the results included?

Response

- No
- Yes, but no discussion what can be concluded from the shown values
- Yes, with discussion what can be concluded from the shown values

Q14 · Scale

Are effective sample size diagnostic (usually denoted with n_{eff} or ESS) and an interpretation of the results included?

Response

- No
- Yes, but no discussion what can be concluded from the shown values
- Yes, with discussion what can be concluded from the shown values

Q15 · Scale

Is there posterior predictive checking and interpretation of the results?

Response

- No
- Yes, but no discussion what can be concluded from the shown checks
- Yes, with discussion what can be concluded from the shown checks

Q16 · Scale

Is there model comparison and interpretation of the results?

Response

- No
- Yes, but no discussion what can be concluded from the comparison
- Yes, with discussion what can be concluded from the comparison

Q17 · Yes / No

Is there predictive performance assessment if applicable (e.g. classification accuracy) and evaluation of practical usefulness of the accuracy?

Response

No, but it is applicable

Yes, was performed, or was not applicable and explanation why it is not applicable is included

Q18 · Scale

Is there prior sensitivity analysis? That is, is there any alternative prior tested and reported and whether estimates of quantities of interest changed?

Response

- No
- Yes, but no discussion what can be concluded from the sensitivity analysis
- Yes, with discussion what can be concluded from the sensitivity analysis

Q19 · Scale

Is there a discussion of problems and potential improvements? The analysis does not need to be perfect. It is ok to have bad models, bad convergence etc, as long as they are acknowledged and discussed.

Response

- No, practically non-existing, or nonsense
- Some
- Very clear

Q20 · Scale

Is there a conclusion describing what was learned from the data analysis?

Response

No, practically non-existing, or nonsense

A conclusion is included

The conclusion is clear

Q21 · Text

Describe in your own words what is the main conclusion of the data analysis in this report?

Response

Q22 · Yes / No

Is there a section of self-reflection about what the group learned while making the project?

Response

There is no self-reflection section or it's practically non-existing

There is a self-reflection section

Q23 · Scale

Accuracy of use of statistical terms

Response

There are numerous errors in use statistical terms

There are some errors or confusing use of statistical terms

Statistical terms are used accurately (as far as I the reviewer know)

Q24 · Scale

The structure and organization of the report

Response

- The report lacks a clear data analysis story
- The report attempts to tell a coherent data analysis story but lacks some focus and clarity
- The report presents a clear cohesive data analysis story
- The report presents a clear cohesive data analysis story, which is enjoyable to read

Q25 · Text

Overall, what did you think of the structure and organization of the report? Name at least one way your peer could improve structure and organization.

Response

Q26 · Text

Choose something you like about the report and explain why you like it.

Response

Q27 · Text

If you were to go back and redo your own report after reading this submission, what would you change?

Response

Q28 · Text

If the student(s) were to complete this project work again, what could they change, to make it overall better?

Response

